



**CUFORN**  
INC

Canadian UFO

Research Network

P.O. Box 77547, 592 Sheppard Ave. W.  
Downsview, Ontario, Canada M3H 6A7  
(416) 787-1905

# BULLETIN

\$5.00

*Crescat scientia vita excolatur* (where knowledge increases, life is ennobled)  
Vol. 14, No. 5, September-October, 1994



In November, 1976, 3 p.m., West Indonesian Time, Tony Hartono, an ARCO Indonesia engineer had just finished photographing his firm's off-shore oil rig for a progress report. He was standing on a platform on a launch. He still had one frame left on his roll of film when he saw a dark speck in the distance. It got closer and turned yellow as it neared his launch. He took this photo. It accelerated, turned sharply and flew away swiftly its color changing to red. In the distance, it ascended at a very high speed and went out of sight. This photo shows a bit of left to right displacement due to the UFO's motion while the rig is sharply outlined. The photo was taken near Indonesia's South Herwang Coast. (Credit-UFO Photographs Around the World, Vol. 2, by Wendelle Stevens and August Roberts, 1985. © Wendelle C. Stevens, P.O. Box 17206, Tucson, Arizona 85710, U.S.A.)

- IN THIS ISSUE:** -The Cognitive Interview, by C. Leigh Culver, CI.H.  
-A Short Introduction to Cosmopolitics, by Bruno Ericsson, B.Sc.  
-Howe Links Circles, Mutilations, UFOs in Toronto Talk  
-Blinding White UFO Seen Over Lake Simcoe  
-Six Speakers Set for Spring by Toronto New Age Group  
-Cambridge UFO Group Newsletter Ceases Publication

## SPECIAL OFFERS

RARE, OUT OF PRINT SPECIAL  
THE UFO PULSE ANALYZER -- only 14 copies left of the April, 1977 issue and one of the June, 1977 issue.

For sale at \$6.00 each including postage and handling. Make cheque or money out to "CUFORN, Inc." and mail it to Box 77547, 592 Sheppard Ave. West, Downsview, Ontario, Canada, M3H 6A7

.....

A COMPLETE INDEX FOR "SITUATION RED-THE UFO SIEGE",

by Leonard Stringfield. Now available for the first time. This 1,185-item, 46 page index gives you a complete and valuable guide to a classic book.

Send cheque or money made out to "Lawrence J. Fenwick", Mail to P.O. Box 77547, 592 Sheppard Avenue West, Downsview, Ontario Canada M3H 6A7. Price for Canadian residents: \$8.00; for other countries: \$10.00

.....

## UFO SERVICE

Enlarged listing of 162 sources of worldwide UFO information. Send \$5 cheque or money to investigator/lecturer George D. Fawcett, 602 Battleground Road, Lincolnton, North Carolina 28092, U.S.A. If from overseas, write first for extra cost for postage/handling

## Have you seen a UFO? Have you had contact with an alien intelligence?

The National UFO Museum is engaged in a research project to get to the truth of the UFO phenomenon. Any information you have on the subject is vital to unravelling this mystery.

If you have had a sighting or contact, please send us a description and include as much of the following information as you can recall: 1. Time, Date and Location. 2. Describe the Incident. 3. Can you Draw what you saw? 4. Were there any Photos, Physical evidence, or other Witnesses? 5. Include any other relevant evidence and information.

Please send to: National UFO Museum, P.O. Box 20593, Sun Valley, NV 89433 U.S.A..

## CUFORN Staff

President - Joseph Muskat  
 Secretary - Lawrence J. Fenwick, B.A. (Journ)  
 Treasurer - Harry Tokarz

### Consultants

Nuclear Physics - Ken Holmes, M.Sc., P. Eng  
 Stanton T. Friedman, B.Sc., M.Sc.  
 Physics - David Harrison, Ph.D.  
 Bruno Ericsson, B.Sc., B.A.  
 Terence Dickinson, B.Sc., M.Sc.  
 Astronomy - Steven Boucher  
 Computer Technology - Gordon Boker, B.A., M.A.  
 Microbiology - George Hathaway, B.Sc., P.Eng.  
 Chemistry - Lorne Gratton, B.A.  
 Sociology - John R. Salter Jr., Ph.D.  
 Engineering Technology - Raymond Borg, C.E.T.  
 Electronics - Robert M. Schultz, P.Eng.  
 Chuck Suikki, P.Eng.  
 Norman Silverburg, P. Eng  
 Thomas Mehner, B.A.  
 P.M.H. Maximilian Edwards, Ph.D.  
 Aeronautics - Debbie Ross, B.A.  
 Linguistics - Kevin Garipey, B.A.  
 Regressive Hypnosis -  
 Psychology -

### Bulletin Staff

Editor - Lawrence J. Fenwick  
 D.T.P. - Y.Michael

The CUFORN Bulletin is published bi-monthly by the Canadian UFO Research Network, Inc. with articles contributed by members and non-members of CUFORN, Inc. The opinions of the contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the Editor/Publisher or CUFORN. Articles may be sent directly to CUFORN. Articles should be kept to an approximate length of 2,000 words, including photographs or drawings. Longer articles may be published in two-part series, if, in the Editor's judgement, the contents merit it. All submissions are subject to editing for clarity, style and brevity. Reprinting in whole or in part requires permission of the Editor/Publisher. Such reprinting must include credit given to the author of the article. Credit must include the words "Copyright © 1994 by the Canadian UFO Research Network, Inc., P.O. Box 77547, 592 Sheppard Ave. West, Downsview, Ontario, Canada M3H 6A7.

Copyright © 1994 by the Canadian UFO Research Network, Inc. (CUFORN), P.O. Box 77547, 592 Sheppard Ave. West, Downsview, Ontario, Canada M3H 6A7.

Membership/Subscription rates: \$30.00 per year in Canada; \$33.00 foreign in Canadian funds, Editor/Publisher: Lawrence J. Fenwick. Back issues are available at \$5.00 each including postage, payable in advance. Subscription/Memberships and back issues payable by cheque or money order.

# THE COGNITIVE INTERVIEW

*A Non-hypnosis Memory Retrieval Technique for the UFO Researcher*

By C. Leigh Culver, CI.H.

If you are a UFO researcher you may have been asked to investigate a possible UFO sighting. Perhaps you drove out to the site and interviewed several witnesses that saw a UFO land on their property. Did you get all of the information available from the witnesses? Did you know that there is an interview technique that enhances memory recall and that significantly increases the amount of accurate information available from a witness? Well, there is such a technique, and it's called the cognitive interview.

The cognitive interview was developed by researchers who wanted a non-hypnotic memory-retrieval technique that would enhance the completeness and accuracy of eyewitness reports. The method is easy to learn and upon completion of reading this article you will be able to conduct a cognitive interview.

Since the 1950s, hypnosis has been used by law enforcement investigators in this country for the retrieval and enhancement of eyewitness memory. Information is the most important element in a criminal investigation. The ability of investigators to obtain accurate and useful information from witnesses and/or victims of crimes is crucial to making and prosecuting criminal cases. Often an eyewitness will tend to focus on the victim, or on a weapon, and important details will become lost and not remembered. Standard interviewing techniques have not always been found to be effective in obtaining reliable testimony. Because of this, the techniques of investigative or forensic hypnosis are often employed.

Hypnosis has been found to be a very useful tool for memory retrieval both in studies and in the courtroom. Forensic hypnosis, however, occasionally has legal problems due to the concept of tampering with the evidence, i.e. the witness or victim's mind. Because of this, other memory retrieval techniques have been explored, and out of this research the cognitive interview technique was developed. This technique is a valuable tool for law enforcement investigators and it can be an equally valuable tool for the UFO researcher. Unlike hypnosis, the technique can be easily learned and it doesn't require a great deal of training.

The cognitive interview technique was developed in 1984 by Martin Reiser, Ed.D., Director of Behavioral Science Services for the Los Angeles Police Department, along with other researchers from the UCLA Department of Psychology. In 1985, the National Institute of Justice published, in the December issue of *Research In Brief*, the results of the UCLA study.

The results showed that the cognitive interview and hypnosis elicited significantly more correct informa-

tion than the standard interview. The study also demonstrated that there was no significant increase in incorrect information. Tables 1 and 2 will help illustrate the results of the UCLA study.

**TABLE 1.** Facts recalled in three types of interviews:

TYPE OF INTERVIEW:

	COGNITIVE	HYPNOSIS	STANDARD
Number Correct	41.15	38.00	29.40
Number Incorrect	7.30	5.90	6.10

**TABLE 2.** Recall of the 20 most critical facts:

TYPE OF INTERVIEW:

	COGNITIVE	HYPNOSIS	STANDARD
Number Correct	12.0	12.3	9.2
Number Incorrect	1.1	1.7	1.4

As you can see from the results, they were very positive. In all, there were five different experiments conducted, and it was found that the cognitive interview and hypnosis had very similar results; however, standard interviewing techniques were found to be less effective.

The cognitive interview shifts the focus to how people remember. The more elements a memory retrieval aid has in common with the memory of the event, the more effective the aid is. Memory has several access routes, so information that is not accessible with one retrieval cue may be accessible with a different one.

The cognitive interview uses four general methods and several specific methods of cuing memory. The first two methods attempt to increase the overlap of elements between retrieval cues and stored memory. The last two methods attempt to increase the amount of retrieval access routes.

The basic techniques of the cognitive interview are: (1) reconstruct the circumstances, (2) report everything, (3) recall the events in a different order, and (4) change perspectives. The method is systematic and the order of the techniques is important. During the interview start with 1, then 2, then 3, and then 4.

**The cognitive interview was developed by researchers who wanted a non-hypnotic memory-retrieval technique that would enhance the completeness and accuracy of eye-witness reports.**

**RECONSTRUCT THE CIRCUMSTANCES**

In this method the researcher instructs the witness to reconstruct the circumstances of the event in general. The witness presents a narrative from beginning to end. This will give a general overview of the incident. Never have the witness start with the event itself, but with ordinary events that occurred before the incident in question. What was the witness saying, doing, feeling, seeing just before the incident? An example might be, "I got up this morning and made breakfast. After breakfast I decided to walk my dog, 'Lad.' So Lad and I went out to the field. That's when I saw the UFO sitting behind the trees...."

**REPORT EVERYTHING**

The researcher explains that some people hold back information because they feel that it was not important. Ask the witness not to edit anything, even things that they feel are not very important. As the witness presents the narrative, encourage reinstatement of everything happening—for example, the weather, time of day, all surrounding properties, lighting, nearby people, everything. Focus on each change of context and then focus on the feeling yielding information at each point. Use the present tense. "What do you see? What is your immediate reaction?" "Is there anything about the feeling?"

**RECALL THE EVENTS IN A DIFFERENT ORDER**

Explain to the witness that it is natural for one to go through the incident from beginning to end, however, you would like him or her to start at the end and then go back to the beginning. You might start with something that impressed the witness most and then move forward or backward.

Make use of the witness's change in context. Break up streams of activities, then back up. "What is going on before the door of the UFO opens?" "Describe everything about the scene." Then repeat. "Is there anything else that you remember?" "Of what you have told me, what stands out?" Go on to the next scene and repeat the process.

This method is good at finding out lies, too. Lies are created and are in a logical order. Having the witness start at various stages confuses that order. As the truth is a matter of recall, not creation, the order of repeating can actually aid in the memory process.

**CHANGE PERSPECTIVE**

Have the witness attempt to recall the incident from another perspective, perhaps in the role of another indi-

vidual who had significance in the event, or from a different location relevant to the event. "If you were standing where your dog was located what would you have seen?" The witness might reply, "I wouldn't have seen the emblem on the alien's uniform."

Having the witness mentally change perspectives while recalling an incident, enhances the completeness of the report. Often a witness has a variety of perspectives on the incident, but most people will report what they remember from only one perspective.

**PHYSICAL APPEARANCE**

During the narrative phase of the investigation, the researcher might use specific techniques to obtain more detailed information. For example:

"Does the individual remind you of anyone that you know, a friend, a movie star?" "Try to think of why." "Was there anything unusual about the individual's clothing or appearance?" When asking for facial descriptions get trait descriptions and go from there. "Is it a pleasant face?" "What makes it pleasant?"

**NAMES.** Have the witness use the technique of going through the alphabet. "How many syllables did the name have?" "What letter did the name start with?"

**NUMBERS.** "Were numbers involved?" "Was it a high number or a low number?" "Were letters used along with the numbers?" "Were there colors involved?"

**SPEECH CHARACTERISTICS.** "Was the voice rough? Pleasant?" "Was there any kind of accent?" "Does the voice remind you of anyone?" "If the voice reminds you of someone, why?"

**CONVERSATION.** "Think about what was said . . . were there any unusual words or phrases?" "What was your reaction to what was said?" Have the witness describe the tone of voice. "Was the voice excited, threatening, young?"

Some practical hints include taking your witness interview notebook and writing on the inside cover methods 1 through 4. Number 1, reconstruct the circumstances, number 2, report everything, and so on. During the interview starting with number 1, title your notes. Then go to 2, then to 3, and then to 4. Don't skip around even if the witness seems repetitious. Remember the cognitive interview is systematic, and the order is important. Make certain that questioning stays non-leading and non-directional, and deals only with what is related by the witness. For multiple witnesses, use the same techniques, but keep the witnesses separate. At the end of the interview, review your notes and then write your report.

**This method is good at finding out lies, too. Lies are created and are in a logical order. Having the witness start at various stages confuses that order. As the truth is a matter of recall, not creation, the order of repeating can actually aid in the memory process.**

As a researcher you have probably been using many of these techniques already. However, you will discover that you can greatly increase the amount of accurate information using all of the above methods. My personal research has demonstrated the value of the cognitive interview technique. I have found that the technique doesn't work as well when there is a complete mental block in memory as is common in the abduction phenomenon. Hypnotic memory retrieval techniques are best used in these cases. In a future issue of *UFO ENCOUNTERS*, you will find a profile on investigative hypnosis.

**REFERENCES:**

Reiser, Martin. 1980. *Handbook of Investigative Hypnosis*. Los Angeles: LEHI Publishing Company.

Geiselman, R. Edward and Fisher, Ronald P., 1985. "Interviewing Victims and Witnesses of Crime." *National Institute of Justice - Research in Brief*, Washington, D.C.; U.S. Department of Justice.

Orme, Martin T., Dinges, David F. and Orme, Emily C., 1984. "The Forensic Use of Hypnosis." *National Institute of Justice - Research in Brief*, Washington, D.C.; U.S. Department of Justice.

"The Cognitive Interview." 1985. *Law Enforcement Training and Information Network*. Los Angeles: L.E. Net Video.

**C. Leigh Culver is an Atlanta-area hypnotherapist who has been in practice for nine years. He was previously a staff hypnotherapist and instructor for the Hypnosis Motivation Institute of Atlanta. Reprinted from *UFO Encounters Magazine* courtesy of Michael Norris, Chief Editor, Aztec Publishing, P.O. Box 1142, Norcross, GA 30091-1142.**

## CANADA'S INTERNATIONAL IMMUNIZATION PROGRAM **HELPING CHILDREN BEAT THE ODDS**



Canada is an important partner in the global effort to help children in the developing world beat the odds against six deadly, but preventable, diseases. Today, 80 per cent of children under the age of one are protected against *measles, polio, tuberculosis, tetanus, whooping cough and diphtheria* – compared to only five per cent 20 years ago.

That translates into more than three million young lives saved each year. Despite these encouraging statistics, nearly two million children a year still die for lack of immunization. The odds can be beaten with your help.

For more information on how you can help support this program, please contact:



### **Canadian Public Health Association**

1565 Carling Avenue, Suite 400  
Ottawa, Ontario Canada  
K1Z 8R1  
Telephone: (613) 725-3769  
Fax: (613) 725-9826



# A SHORT INTRODUCTION TO COSMOPOLITICS

by Bruno R. Ericsson, B.Sc..

## CLOSED POLITICAL SYSTEMS & OPEN POLITICAL SYSTEMS

Contact with cosmos means contact with an open system, contrary to the contact, say, between the C.I.S. and the U.S.A. An open political system has other inertia properties than a closed system. The surprise properties of open systems are different from the surprise properties of a closed system. A good comparison would be a man who has always lived in a village with no outside contacts who one day makes a trip around the world.

The greater the volume or number of capitals he visits, the greater the probability of surprises. This is my theory.

The repeatability of statistical amplitudes over finite sets and repeatability of statistical amplitudes over infinite sets constitutes the idea of own-uniqueness.



Statistical signals do not repeat over small sets. Statistical signals do repeat over big or infinite sets. Every observer here is in a way point-conscious, but not infinite set conscious and that fact misleads him greatly.

We can look at an example: There was a cosmogonic egg. During the spring of 1992 it nearly exploded. At that time, it was thought the process was unique. A General from outer space arrived. He had experienced 40,000 such explosions within his galaxy.


### UFOs, Variance in Structure of Ships and Prognosis

The reason for his visit. Here we have a cosmogonic egg Number 1. One reason for the event was exterior activity over the egg. There was a dimensional cave-in and thus the risk of a big explosion. This fact activated outer space.

If a planet is visited from outer space, there would be numerous alternatives in variance. If the variance is small, the interest is small. If variance is great, interest is great.


Prognosis for Variance

TELLUS




TECHNOLOGICAL TIME

Distribution function of technological time of UFO visitors.




We assume that 1% of the cosmic civilizations have time machines. Since these civilizations are also the oldest, they have the biggest populations.



Among UFOs, the percentage using time machines is 7%.

THE GENERAL STRUCTURE OF A VISIT TO TELLUS


Often we see small ships with a crew of three to five or less. Such a ship we call a Dayship. These provide for the needs of surveillance, but not the needs of physiology, security, integrations, rest, etc. A Dayship is always based on a bigger Yearship which provides the needs of rest, food and staff.



Here we have water and under normal circumstances that could be a reason. The central reason for UFO visits to Tellus today is the Cosmogonic Egg Amun Ra and error functions in this. These error functions are mainly created by biologists and not mathematicians and still less by cosmic analysts. Vampire infection?

THE BASIC PROBLEM

If we here in Stockholm, say at Musko Marine Base, observe a UFO, we do not only have a single direct problem, but we have a future problem, often requiring centuries of work.




Future problems belong to the most difficult to solve category.

PRESENT SITUATION

Around 1970, there was a caving in of the Cosmogonic Egg dimension. In such a situation, a wave leaves the Cosmogonic Egg. This wave reaches other galaxies and attracts attention.


GENERAL COSMIC OVERVIEW



Number of Cosmogonic Eggs is infinite.

THE X PROBLEM

Around a center, there are a number of knowns-A,B,C,--but outside these are an infinity of unknowns  $X_{11}$ ,  $X_{21}$ ,  $X_{31}$ --During the occurrence of a certain class of historical events around a center, such X's can be activated.



The entrance of an X into the center may lead to a political shift. This is known as an X-induced political shift.

PROBABILISTIC MODELS OF SPACE POLITICS

Such work is not done on Tellus, but we have heard much such work is done among the inhabitants of the planets in the Pleiades Constellation. One need only think about balls of different colors and with different numbers and place these balls in random machines or into machines with different selector systems.

"BLINDING WHITE" UFO  
SEEN OVER  
LAKE SIMCOE IN  
ONTARIO

by Lawrence J. Fenwick

Three men in their late 20's reported seeing a "blinding white" light over Lake Simcoe one night in July, 1994.

A musician/contractor, Mr. G.L., his friends H.W. and B.P. were outside on the night of July 11, at 1.15 a.m. G.L. was changing a tire on his truck on Georgina Island Indian Reserve which is 90 miles north of Toronto. The island is in the lake just north of the town of Sutton, Ontario. Two of the three men are native Canadian Indians; the other is of Italian descent, and was visiting from Mississauga, Ontario.

G.L. noted on his sighting report form "I was changing a tire on my truck when I saw a bright flash in the sky. I said to (H.)

and (B.)—what the hell was that? Did you see it?" They both looked in the direction it was. The shape appeared once again. It seemed to expand or get closer. Then it took off at a speed I've never seen before."

G.L. lives 30 miles south of the island and he along with H.W. who lives on the reserve were unable to do much sleeping as it was a warm night. The need to change the tire gave them something to do while they sweated out the evening. The event was so traumatic that they were up until after 2.30 a.m.. They had called the police about the sighting at 2.30 a.m.

All three men were sober and had known each other for some time. G.L. has good vision. He said the weather that night was clear, with no wind. There were many stars visible, but no moonlight.

The light they saw was as large as an orange would be if you held it at arm's length. It had sharp edges and was at a 70 degree angle from the horizon. They saw it hover for 10 seconds. "It seemed to get

closer, then took off," said G.L. They were first attracted to it by a bright flash. The total duration of the observation was 20 seconds, G.L. said. No sound was heard. There was no frame of reference except the open sky.

G.L. phoned CUFORN's Larry Fenwick about the sighting on July 12. A sighting report form was mailed out, filled out on July 20, and received back at CUFORN's headquarters on July 22.

This is considered to be a sighting of an NL as it did not seem to be closer to the observers than 500 feet.

## HOWE LINKS CIRCLES, MUTILATIONS, UFO'S IN TORONTO TALK

by Lawrence J. Fenwick

On Thursday, December 1, renowned ufologist and cattle mutilation specialist Linda Moulton Howe enthralled a small group with a three-hour speech in Toronto.

She spoke at the Ontario Institute for Studies in Education Building where 45 people were so interested that they stayed an hour after the speech to ask questions. She used a combination of slides, audiotape, and videotape. Howe began at 6.30 p.m. after a short introduction by myself.

Ms Howe talked about crop circles, or glyphs, then about the mysterious animal mutilations and a few UFOs seen in their proximity, and finally about UFOs themselves.

She went on to show that there is a main common denominator for the three items. This is the presence of high heat which affects the environment and animals. She said that UFOs are responsible for all of the animal mutilations and for some of the grain glyphs.

It was in the question and answer session afterwards that we got in-depth theoretical ideas about where these phenomena are leading us. One person asked her if she had any predictions about the future based on her research. She stated that something will happen in 1997 which will greatly change life on Earth as we know it. She drew a small chart on the classroom chalkboard. On it were 12 horizontal lines, each representing different places she said will be destinations either literally or mentally for everyone on Earth that year. Surprisingly, religion was prominent, with the top line denoting Heaven and the lower one, Hell.

She was not sure about what the other ones were with any precision. Nor did she say how this would occur or why, for that matter. When asked why she could not explain further, she said that the full explanation is very complicated and that, if she tried to explain all of it, most people would misinterpret what she said.

If Linda Howe is right, we all have a big surprise coming to us. If she is wrong, we can rest comfortably from that time onward.

# SIX SPEAKERS SET FOR SPRING BY TORONTO NEW AGE GROUP

The Toronto Society for Planetary Connections is a new organization formed to deal with all aspects of the UFO phenomenon, according to Michael Bird, the group's founder.

The TSPC's monthly meetings will be at the OISE auditorium, 252 Bloor St West, Toronto, near the St. George subway station from 7 to 10 PM.

The groups first lecture series theme is "UFOs: facing the truth".

You have already missed the first speaker, Colin Andrews, who spoke Jan 11 about crop circles. The remaining speeches are as follows:

Feb 8 USA Air Force Colonel (Ret) Robert O. Dean - "Military involvement, UFO field researcher/ analyst. from Arizona.

March 8 Budd Hopkins - "The Abduction Experience", Hopkins is author of Missing Time, Intruders and Director of the Intruders Foundation, from New York City.

April 12 Stanton Friedman - "Crashed Flying Saucers", Friedman is an author, lecturer and space consultant from Fredericton, N.B.

May 10 Linda Moulton Howe - will speak on cattle mutilations/abductions. She is a film producer, author, and lecturer from Pennsylvania.

Jun 14 Dr. Steven Greer - "Making Contact with ETs". Medical Doctor, Director of the Center for the Study of Extraterrestrial Intelligence, from North Carolina.

Series subscription, advance price, was set at \$90 (or \$15 per seat) Individual seats in advance are \$20 each and all tickets at the door will be \$25 each. Advance tickets can be ordered by sending a cheque in the proper amount, no later than 2 weeks before each event to Toronto Society for Planetary Connections, 5 Goa Court, Etobicoke, Ont, M8W 4Y2, tel. 416-503-0739. Tickets will be held at the door for pickup.

The TSPC annual membership fee is \$20. including bimonthly newsletter

~~WATCH FOR OUR NEXT ISSUE - THE LATE 1940s - LOOKING BACK~~

The editorial staff regrets the lateness of this issue. The next will be out within two weeks. Submissions would be appreciated.

## CAMBRIDGE UFO GROUP MAGAZINE CEASES PUBLICATION

According to a letter to the membership of the Cambridge (Ontario, Canada) Research Group, their quarterly newsletter ceased publication in October 1994. Published for 18 years by group founder Bonnie Wheeler, it was exchanged for the CUFORN bulletin for many years.

Wheeler said that it was now too much of a chore for her. She says she "still definitely still has the interest". CUFORN wishes her well in the future.